

Exhibit 25

W.C. T. Page 1
now cancelled. Bio Test has not been able to develop satisfactory recovery data and the petition must be submitted without their data. Perhaps they can improve technique for later submission.

CHEVRON CHEMICAL COMPANY

ORTHO DIVISION

Richmond, California
February 21, 1966

6 K12 - 24 Jan 66

PARAQUAT RESIDUE STUDIES IN DAIRY CATTLE - INDUSTRIAL BIO TEST REPORT

P.S. You may want to clarify the matter with Bell regarding

MEMO TO THE FILE:

This memo is being written to clarify for the record the difficulty and the delay that we have encountered with regards to the most recent report from the Industrial Bio Test Laboratories on Paraquat Residues in Meat Tissues and Milk. The report is not intended for distribution other than within our own company and is issued only to clarify the delays that are being encountered.

The final report as submitted for inclusion in our Paraquat Petition was found to contain a major error and several errors of omission, one of the errors of omission being an exceedingly serious one from the scientific point of view.

It was necessary to have this test run because a test performed in a previous year had not used the existing analytical method properly and, therefore, a much poorer limit of detection was encountered. The method of analysis is normally good to 0.01 ppm but, as initially employed, was only good to 0.05 ppm. This year's test, which was to correct the situation, indicated in the conclusion on the final page that the method was still good only to 0.05 ppm. This was apparently an ~~omission~~ error and could be corrected simply by retyping.

In addition, the actual residue data obtained on animal tissue and milk samples was nowhere indicated in the reported and it is requested that a separate sheet summarizing this information be submitted.

Neither of the above two mistakes were of a particularly serious nature nor would they cause any excessive delay. However, it was detected by Donald Dye that the table giving recovery studies in this newer report was absolutely identical in every respect to that submitted a year ago. A telephone call was initiated by the writer to the Bio Test Laboratories and it was ultimately learned that indeed no recovery studies had been made whatsoever with this year's test. This is an exceedingly serious omission and, in some respects, negates the value of the entire project. However, it was agreed that since the Bio Test Laboratories still had the tissues on hand, they would perform residue recovery studies at 0.02 and 0.05 ppm on all types of animal tissue and milk samples run. If these results are satisfactory, the data can be included in the Petition. If the recoveries or limits of detection are not adequate, then the entire test may become invalid. This error was detected on the 10th of February and apparently the earliest we could hope to have the recovery data for inclusion in the report would be the 21st.

JNO:sag

ALL-STATE LEGAL

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

17524

J. N. O'SPENSON
J. N. O'SPENSON

PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT

Case ID: 220300429

Control No.: 26064959

CHEV-SJ0125305