

Zumbiehl Janine CHBS

From: Dias Andre CHBS
Sent: Samstag, 8. März 2008 10:55
To: Scott David CHBS; Dieterle Roland Mario CHBS
Cc: Brown Richard Anthony CHBS; Rankin Peter GBGU; Hernandez Jaime COBO; Sullivan Jonathan Dale CHBS; Sartorius Timon CHBS
Subject: Re: Help for Jaime to defend Gramoxone in ecuador

Please make sure Jonathan and/or Timon are involved.
Regards
Andre Dias

This message was sent from my BlackBerry

----- Original Message -----

From: Scott David CHBS
To: Dias Andre CHBS; Dieterle Roland Mario CHBS
Cc: Brown Richard Anthony CHBS; Rankin Peter GBGU; Hernandez Jaime COBO
Sent: Sat Mar 08 09:48:41 2008
Subject: Help for Jaime to defend Gramoxone in ecuador

Andre and Roland

Can we marshall the evidence to show that our interpretation of the CFI judgement is valid.

Jamie is battling with the PAN interpretation and needs some help.

Elements that come to mind are

the suspension not cancellation of the registration in UK and Germany

The PSD letter arguing with the Commision for "nautral justice"

The german regulatory review of PD and pesticides

We need documentary evidencee that this decision was process not science based.

Anything else we know of.

Regards

David Scott
Product Stewardship
From a handheld devise

Zumbiehl Janine CHBS

From: Nadel Alan USGR
Sent: Freitag, 7. März 2008 23:03
To: Sullivan Jonathan Dale CHBS; 'Wolff, Jeffrey'
Subject: FW: PQ Health Science Group Strategy - Discussion Document

Attachments: PQ Health Science doc 5.doc

Jeff and I had provided comments on this draft to Lewis. Among them was our opinion that it would be difficult to characterize the document as privileged. Lewis called me and questioned this advice. He stated that the Company would not be suggesting these studies absent the possibility of litigation. I would like to get your thoughts on this before I send the document on. In addition, I will check and see whether Lewis has incorporated our other comments.

Regards,

Alan

From: Smith Lewis CHBS
Sent: Friday, March 07, 2008 11:54 AM
To: Nadel Alan USGR; Sullivan Jonathan Dale CHBS; McFarland Janis USGR; Botham Phil GBJH; Wilks Martin CHBS; Breckenridge Charles USGR; Sturgess Nick GBJH; Travis Kim GBJH
Subject: PQ Health Science Group Strategy - Discussion Document

Dear All

This looks like the final draft, which I am asking Alan Nadel to forward to Geoff Wolf, John Doe, Angela Brady, Sarah Hull, Rob Neill, Jonathan Seabrook, as external counsel and members of the Health Science Steering Group.

Hopefully this will maintain privilege status for the document.

Thanks.

Lewis



PQ Health Science
doc 5.doc (7...

Zumbiehl Janine CHBS

From: Burton Judith GBAP
Sent: Freitag, 7. März 2008 18:00
To: Sullivan Jonathan Dale CHBS; Doe John GBJH; Brady Angela CHBS; Neill Rob CHBS; Hull Sarah USWS
Cc: McFarland Janis USGR; Nadel Alan USGR
Subject: PQ Health Science document

Dear All

You should receive a document entitled ' PQ Health Science Group Strategy - Discussion document ' which covers the strategy and the initial approach to Syngenta's scientific response to the issue of paraquat and Parkinson's Disease. This is an input for the next Steering Group meeting at which you will be asked to support the approach of the Science Team and agree to the initiation of the studies outlined in the document. At the meeting we shall discuss those studies for which an immediate agreement is sought and identify others where we have not yet decided to ask for approval.

The next meeting will be arranged as soon as practical and it will almost certainly have to be by teleconference given the diary restraints.

Lewis